

Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. Rating Lowered Following Action On South Africa; Outlook Stable

May 11, 2020

- On April 29, 2020, we lowered our long-term foreign and local currency ratings on the Republic of South Africa to 'BB-' and 'BB' from 'BB' and 'BB+' respectively.
- In our view, there is an almost certain likelihood that the South African government would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to the Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. (DBSA) if needed. Consequently, we equalize our ratings and outlook on DBSA with those on South Africa.
- We are therefore lowering our long-term foreign and local currency ratings on DBSA to 'BB-/B' and 'BB/B' respectively.
- The stable outlook mirrors that on South Africa--our ratings on DBSA will move in conjunction with those on the sovereign for as long as we assess the likelihood of government support as almost certain.

LONDON (S&P Global Ratings) May 11, 2020--S&P Global Ratings said today it lowered its long-and short-term foreign currency issuer credit ratings to 'BB-/B' from 'BB/B' and long- and short-term local currency ratings to 'BB/B' from 'BB+/B' on Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. (DBSA). The outlook is stable.

We equalize our ratings on DBSA with those on South Africa (foreign currency BB-/Stable/B, local currency BB/Stable/B). This reflects our opinion that there is an almost certain likelihood (as defined in our criteria: "Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions," published March 25, 2015, on RatingsDirect) that the South African government would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to DBSA in the event of financial distress.

This rating action follows our downgrade of South Africa (see "South Africa Ratings Lowered To 'BB-' From 'BB' As COVID-19 Further Impairs Fiscal And Growth Prospects; Outlook Stable," published April 29, 2020). We expect South Africa's already-contracting economy will face a further sharp COVID-19-related downturn in 2020, and that the pandemic will create additional and more substantial headwinds to GDP growth due to a strict domestic lockdown, markedly weaker external demand outlook, and tighter credit conditions.

Consistent with our criteria for government-related entities (GREs), we maintain our view of DBSA's:

- Critical role as one of the South African government's primary vehicles for promoting infrastructure development in the country's public and private sectors.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Ravi Bhatia

London (44) 20-7176-7113 ravi.bhatia @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACTS

Trevor Barsdorf

Johannesburg + 27 11 214 4852 trevor.barsdorf @spglobal.com

Tatonga G Rusike

Johannesburg (27) 11-214-4859 tatonga.rusike @spglobal.com

Motlanalo Mashoene

Johannesburg motlanalo.mashoene @spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACT

EMEA Sovereign and IPF

SovereignIPF @spglobal.com

Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. Rating Lowered Following Action On South Africa; Outlook Stable

- Integral link with the South African government, through 100% ownership, ongoing government supervision, and capital injections when required.

Our assessment of the strength and durability of the link between DBSA and the government remains integral, based on 100% ownership and ongoing government supervision. Between 2012 and 2015, the government injected South African rand (ZAR) 7.9 billion into DBSA, reinforcing the shareholder (government) support for DBSA. The bank can also avail callable capital of ZAR20 billion to boost its capital base.

We have revised down our stand-alone credit profile (SACP; not considering the likelihood of extraordinary government support) for DBSA by one notch to 'bb-'. This follows the downward revision of the anchor for South African banks to 'bb' under our Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) for South Africa, which also followed the sovereign downgrade due to expected pressure on loan growth and asset-quality metrics (see "Various Rating Actions Taken On South African Banks Following Sovereign Downgrade," published May 7, 2020).

Within South Africa, DBSA predominantly supports public sector projects in energy, transport, and in metropolitan municipalities where infrastructure projects aim to catalyze economic growth. In 2019 the government set up an infrastructure fund to fast track development projects and its implementation unit sits within DBSA. The bank also plans to increase lending to poorer under-resourced municipalities and the water sector to assist in closing infrastructure gaps. It is, however, limited by the availability and readiness of those entities to create viable financeable projects. Consequently, DBSA support to those municipalities often takes the form of technical assistance grants, capacity-building initiatives, and overall project support. DBSA's ongoing commitment in this respect aims to enhance its developmental role and help spur GDP growth in South Africa.

DBSA also has a mandate for the development of the Southern African Development Community (SADC), primarily infrastructure finance projects that aim to link the region economically. In 2015, DBSA received an even wider mandate to provide lending and support across the rest of Africa. Despite this wider mandate, DBSA will remain mainly concentrated in South Africa, which will make up about 70% of the loan book, with projects outside limited to 30%.

Although trying to fulfil government policy initiatives, DBSA is also guided by commercial viability, as required by its sole shareholder the South African government. Simultaneously, it also considers the goals of enhancing economic growth and regional integration. That said, a recent ZAR3.5 billion loan granted by DBSA to troubled South African Airways (SAA) raises some concerns, given the state of the airline and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on it.

We view DBSA's capital and earnings as supportive of the 'bb-' SACP and the remaining factors as less supportive. We note the concentration within the loan portfolio and downside pressure that could emerge on the SACP should the portfolio deteriorate. We assess DBSA's capital and earnings as very strong. At year-end 2019, the risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio before adjustments was 16.7%. We expect the RAC ratio to remain at about 15%-17% in 2020-2021, balancing the counter cyclical nature and developmental mandate of DBSA. We consider the bank's earnings to be volatile.

We believe that DBSA's risk position is under pressure, in line with the pressures on the broader South African economy. Nonperforming loans (NPLs) rose to 6.71% at Sept. 30, 2019, compared with 4.89% at March 31, 2019, and breached the 6% internal limit. We expect NPLs to double and peak at 11% in 2020-2021. We consider single obligor concentrations to be high. The top 20 loans accounted for 72% of total loans and 159% of total adjusted capital (TAC) at March 31, 2019. Moreover, the largest exposure—to South African utility Eskom—accounted for 21% of total loans (or about ZAR17.3 billion) and 46% of TAC at the same time. Additionally, the recent DBSA ZAR3.5

Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. Rating Lowered Following Action On South Africa; Outlook Stable

billion equity bridge facility to SAA increases the concentration on South African GREs.

We recognize the role of the development bank to act counter-cyclically, along with improved risk management in recent periods in terms of loan underwriting, surveillance, and recovery. Nevertheless, given the challenging macroeconomic environment both within South Africa and the surrounding SADC, combined with loans to troubled state-owned enterprises (such as SAA) that are under pressure, we expect asset-quality metrics will weaken. We forecast credit losses will rise to 2.4% by 2020 and remain above 2.0% for the remainder of the period.

The stable outlook on DBSA mirrors that on South Africa. Our ratings on DBSA will move in conjunction with those on the sovereign as long as we assess the likelihood of extraordinary support is almost certain.

We could lower the ratings on DBSA if we downgraded South Africa. Pressure on the rating could also build if the bank's public-policy role and/or its link with the government were to weaken in combination with a deterioration of its stand-alone credit metrics.

We could raise the ratings on DBSA if we were to upgrade South Africa. We do not expect to rate DBSA higher than the sovereign.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Related Research

- Various Rating Actions Taken On South African Banks Following Sovereign Downgrade, May 7, 2020
- South Africa Ratings Lowered To 'BB-' From 'BB' As COVID-19 Further Impairs Fiscal And Growth Prospects; Outlook Stable, April 29, 2020

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceld/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search

Development Bank of Southern Africa Ltd. Rating Lowered Following Action On South Africa; Outlook Stable

box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

www.standardandpoors.com May 11, 2020 4



Copyright © 2020 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.