

**REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
FOR THE KAZUNGULA WATER SUPPLY AND BASIC SANITATION PROJECT – PHASE 1
(RFP137/2021)**

No	Clarification	Response
1	<p>We noticed that in the Instructions to Applicants on page 10 and 11 of the PDF version, Item 11.1 - (d) - (IV), list of project references in the format provided in Section IV, Application Forms. Unless otherwise stated in the PDS the references shall be limited to a maximum of 10 projects carried out during the 5 years preceding the publication of this prequalification document.</p> <p>Kindly clarify and confirm that the number of all project references in Form FIN-3.4 Current Contract Commitments / Works in Progress; Form EXP-4.1 General Construction Experience; Form EXP-4.2 (a) Specific Construction and Contract Management Experience; Form EXP-4.2 (b) Construction Experience in Key Activities; Form ESHS EXP-5.2 Experience in Projects with significant ESHS Impact shall be limited to 10, or just references in general experience shall be limited to 10 projects as only general construction experience has the same limited time for the last 5 years.</p>	<p>Please refer to the criteria on pages 22 to 30 for guidance on the specific minimum requirements to be met, including the corresponding number of years for the various aspects.</p> <p>The ITA Item 11.1 d (IV) must be read as giving guidance where the specific criteria on the pages referred to above does not provide specific guidance or where the maximum of 10 projects during the preceding 5 years is well above the specific requirement.</p>
2	<p>Kindly could you assist by forwarding me the electronic Bill of Quantities in a PDF or excel format</p>	<p>NO Bill of Quantities is provided at this pre-qualification stage</p>
3	<p>We have a request for clarification on the water rights for risk mitigation purpose as below: refer to the bidding document Section VII, 1.4 Project Location , as the project location holds a unique position as a quadripoint where four Southern African countries meet (Zambia, Zimbabwe, Namibia and Botswana), can you kindly clarify there is no conflicts of interest or dispute on the water right among these countries that this project could involve ?</p>	<p>We do not see the relevance of this question with respect to the Pre-qualification phase. We advise that Bidders restrict themselves to availing information as requested in the Pre-qualification document.</p>
4	<p>We request for your clarification as below: 1.In Section III. Qualification and Evaluation “3.3 Financial Capabilities: Financial Position (iii) The audited balance sheets or, if not required by the laws of the Applicant’ s country, other financial statements acceptable to the Employer, for the last five (05) years (e.g. starting 1 st January 2015) shall be submitted and must demonstrate the current soundness of the Applicant’ s financial position based on the following criteria: ” From our understanding, “the last five years” may starts from “1 st January 2016” instead of “1 st January 2015 ” , we wonder if the relevant period was mistaken.</p>	<p>No, its not a mistake. This allows for a 5-year period for those whose financial year is not the same as the calendar year. For instance, if a bidder’s financial year begins in November, then the 5-year period will run from November 2015 to November 2020.</p> <p>Bidders must provide the requisite financial information for the last 5 years based on their audited financials.</p>

**REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
FOR THE KAZUNGULA WATER SUPPLY AND BASIC SANITATION PROJECT – PHASE 1
(RFP137/2021)**

	<p>2.The same discrepancy was also found in In Section III. Qualification and Evaluation</p> <p>“3.4 Minimum annual construction turnover of EUR 6,000,000 (Euro six million) for the last five (05) years (e.g. starting from 01 January 2015) calculated as total certified annual payments received for contracts in progress and/or completed. The period of five (05) years shall be calculated starting from 01 January 2015, e.g. based on audited balance sheets for the years 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019.”</p> <p>From our understanding, “the period of five (05) years” shall be calculated starting from “1 st January 2016” instead of “1 st January 2015, e.g. based on audited balance sheets for the years 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019.” , we wonder if the relevant period was also mistaken.</p>	
--	---	--