

RFP '	159/2021: NON-C	COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS A	AND RESPONSES (PART 2)
Item	Category	Question	Response
no.			
12.	SCM/Technical	Page 10 of the Request for Proposals Document (RFP159/2021) refers: • Town/Urban and Regional Planner (8) • The requirements for a City/Town and Regional Planner require that the key resource is registered as a Technical Planner with SACPLAN – would the DBSA consider broadening this requirement to include registration as Professional Planner with SACPLAN who is also competent to provide "Town/Urban Planning Services".	The title (heading) of the fourth column from the left on Page 10 of the RFP 159/2021 document indicates that these are the Minimum Qualifications, Category of Professional Registration and Experience expected for the Town/Regional Planner. Therefore, registration as a Professional Planner with SACPLAN of a Key Resource / Expert that is competent to provide Town/Urban Planning Services is already covered, catered for, and will be accepted. This is because that category of registration (as a Professional Planner) is above (or higher than) the required minimum.
13.	SCM/Technical	Page 10 of the Request for Proposals Document (RFP159/2021) refers: • Development Planner (9) • The requirements for a Development Planner require that the key resource is registered as a Professional Planner with SACPLAN in order to provide development planning and social facilitation services yet on page 16 the	Stated minimum requirement is Registration as a Professional Planner in terms of the Planning Professions Act, 2003. Must have at least 5 years' post- registration experience in development planning in the municipal and/or public sector. The description "Development Planner (Municipal Infrastructure)" on Page 16



E Godongwana (Chairman), PK Dlamini* (Chief Executive), M Swilling (Deputy Chairman), M Janse van Rensburg, B Mosako*, Z Monnakgotla, B Mudavanhu, B Ndamase, L Nematswerani, MT Ngqaleni, L Noge-Tungamirai, P Ngeto, A Sing 1258 Lever Rd, Headway Hill, Midrand, 1685 +27 11 313 3911 +27 11 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

RFP '	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item	Category	Question	Response	
no.				
14.	SCM/Technical	experience of the resource is required in terms of Municipal Infrastructure. Kindly confirm which requirement is correct or if both are a pre-requisite? Page 13 of RFP159/2021 refers:	has a minor typo which has therefore been corrected to read "Development Planner (Municipal and/or Public Sector)" The stated criteria will remain	
		Stage 2: Quality {Functionality} Criteria The quality criteria refers only to experience and track record for infrastructure master plans. Will points also be awarded for demonstrating experience and a track record in preparing Bankable Business Plans and Technical Feasibility Study for Special Economic Zones?	 unchanged due to the following: The ingredients (building blocks) for the Bankable Business Plan of the SEZ Master Plan are from the Technical Feasibility Study – the contents of which are in the domain of the Existing Situation Assessment, Future Demand Analysis, and Project Interventions sections of all credible infrastructure master planning exercises. There are currently only nine (9) designated SEZs in South Africa. Therefore, not many Professional Services Providers (PSPs) will have worked in the past 10 years on a meaningful number of Business Plans for SEZs specifically – due to this limited number. SEZs are portions / parcels of land designated for infrastructure development in various sectors – e.g. water, sanitation, roads, etc. to suit the type of SEZ. The SEZ Master Plan will 	



RFP '	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item	Category	Question	Response	
no.				
			be in the domain of infrastructure master planning. • At a basic level, infrastructure master plans are Business Plans (albeit at a high level) for the integrated infrastructure development in the short, medium and long-term for a specific space or area. For a specific project such as a SEZ, the Bankable Business Plan will take (expand on) the high-level Business Plans into more (greater) detail.	
15.	SCM/Technical	Page 50 of RFP159/2021 refers: Stage 2: Quality {Functionality} Criteria. The quality criteria only refers to experience and track record for infrastructure masterplan, will points be awarded for demonstrating experience and a track record in preparing Bankable Business Plans and Technical Feasibility Study for Special Economic Zones	Given the explanations provided inter alia above: Points will therefore be awarded only for demonstrating experience and a track record in preparing Bankable Business Plans for specific (distinct) SEZs. It should be noted that there are currently only a limited number [nine (9)] of designated SEZs in the country.	
16.	SCM/Technical	Page 51 of RFP159/2021 refers: Schedule T2.2.15: Summarized Details of Experience / Track Record of the Tendering Entity in Executing Work of Similar Nature. Again, reference is made only to experience with infrastructure masterplans. Will points be awarded for demonstrating experience and a	Refer to the explanations above and to the specific response to Item no. 15 above.	



1258 Lever Rd, Headway Hill, Midrand, 1685 +27 11 313 3911 +27 11 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

Item no. Category Question track record in preparing Plans and Technical Feas Special Economic Zones Economists. 17. SCM/Technical Page 52 of RFP159/2021 state "Tenderers (or that of the conjoint venture, consortium of execution of projects related updating of Water Services (WSDP) or Water Services municipal sphere over the page Will reference letters for Bar Plans and Technical Feasible Teneral Plans	sibility Study for i.e. the work of the The reference to the "development / updating of Water Services Development r association) in the I to the development / Development Plans The reference to the "development / updating of Water Services Development Plans (WSDP) or Water Services Mast Plans in the municipal sphere over the past 10 years" is a typo. It has been
track record in preparing Plans and Technical Feas Special Economic Zones Economists. 17. SCM/Technical Page 52 of RFP159/2021 state "Tenderers (or that of the conjoint venture, consortium of execution of projects related updating of Water Services (WSDP) or Water Services municipal sphere over the page will reference letters for Bar Plans and Technical Feasible	sibility Study for i.e. the work of the The reference to the "development / updating of Water Services Development r association) in the I to the development / Development Plans The reference to the "development / updating of Water Services Development Plans (WSDP) or Water Services Mast Plans in the municipal sphere over the past 10 years" is a typo. It has been
Design and Landscaping Maqualify? • Will unstamped reference le Client's qualify or must all rethe Template and Stamped Body. As consultants we bas agree to this and with Client home under Level 4 restrictions.	infrastructure master plans in the built environment (e.g. for human settlemen industrial / commercial developments, water, sanitation, electricity, roads, storwater, etc.) in the past 10 years in Sou Africa". Reference letters for Bankable Business Plans for Special Economic Zones and/or the preparation of Urban Design Masterplans will qualify. Reference letters for Preparation of Landscaping Master Plans will NOT
Will unstamped reference le Client's qualify or must all re the Template and Stamped Body. As consultants we bar agree to this and with Client	 Plans for Special Economic Zones and/or the preparation of Urban De Masterplans will qualify. Reference letters for Preparation of Landscaping Master Plans will NOT qualify – as Landscaping is dealing



E Godongwana (Chairman), PK Dlamini* (Chief Executive), M Swilling (Deputy Chairman), M Janse van Rensburg, B Mosako*, Z Monnakgotla, B Mudavanhu, B Ndamase, L Nematswerani, MT Ngqaleni, L Noge-Tungamirai, P Ngeto, A Sing 1258 Lever Rd, Headway Hill, Midrand, 1685 +27 11 313 3911 +27 11 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

RFP [*]	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item	Category	Question	Response	
no.				
			Tenderer in the past 10 Years will be accepted". Therefore, <u>unstamped</u> Reference letters from clients in the last 10 years will qualify (be accepted).	
18.	SCM/Technical	 Page 99 of RFP159/2021 refers: Should the following key resources time-based fees also be included in Schedule C2.2.3 in order to align with Schedule C2.2.1? Project Leadership, Management Coordination and Administration Services. Infrastructure Investment Analysis Market and Economic Research Services Legal Services w.r.t. Property e.g. Conveyancing, Registrations, Transfers, Subdivisions, etc.) 	 Schedule C2.2.3 is for TECHNICAL OPERATIONAL COSTS of the <i>relevant</i> services listed thereon. Any services or Key Resources that are not listed in Schedule C2.2.3 simply DO NOT qualify for incurring the said <i>Technical Operational Costs</i>. 	
19.	SCM/Technical	Page 100 of RFP159/2021 refers: • There appears to be overlap between the two schedules C2.2.1 and C2.2.3 for them to be added together to generate the total project costs. Please confirm?	 There are no overlaps whatsoever between Scheduled C2.2.1 and Schedule C2.2.3. Schedule C2.2.1 is different in purpose from Schedule C2.2.3 and the two are NOT (and never) added to generate the total project costs. It is the costs from Schedule C2.2.2 and Schedule C2.2.3 that are added together to generate the total project costs as shown in Schedule C2.2.4. 	



RFP ²	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item no.	Category	Question	Response	
20.	SCM/Technical	 Page 120 of RFP159/2021 refers: Can the Client provide a more detailed site plan, or locality maps of where the SEZ is located that will also give an indication of the size of the project. 	Please refer to the third paragraph on Page 102 where the <i>specific location</i> and <i>size of the land (property)</i> for the project are described in detail.	
21.	SCM/Technical	 Market and Economic Research Expert: The outputs and deliverables required for the workstreams this individual will be responsible for, are related to market research, economic modelling, socio-economic impact assessment, etc. The RFP requires registration as a Marketing Practitioner in South Africa. Although a portion of the engagement relates to marketing, this is a relatively small proportion of the overall skill/experience set required. Can this requirement be waved or changed to better reflect the outputs of the RFP? 	 The requirement for registration as a Marketing Practitioner will remain and will NOT be waived. This is because of the critical requirement in the Bankable Business Plan for Market Analysis and Marketing Plan. Regarding the economic modelling and socio-economic impact assessment, it should be noted that the Key Recourses / Experts are NOT the only resources that are expected to be working on the Project. Tenderers are to ensure that apart from the Key Experts listed, they have adequate resources in other/related disciplines to complete the scope of work. 	
22.	SCM/Technical	Pre-feasibility Study Scope and Outputs: In order to develop accurate costing for the commercial and financial components of the engagement, it is important to understand the coverage of the pre-feasibility study. Would you be able to provide us with an indication of scope and extent of outputs? Also, if not confidential, it would be good to know which firm delivered the pre-feasibility study?	 Scope and extent of the outputs are currently with the NWPG/NWDC, but they are more or less in line with the headings of the contents of the prefeasibility study section of the tender document. In developing the costings (proposed fees), the attention of Tenderers is directed to Section C3.1.6.3 on Page 	



E Godongwana (Chairman), PK Dlamini* (Chief Executive), M Swilling (Deputy Chairman), M Janse van Rensburg, B Mosako*, Z Monnakgotla, B Mudavanhu, B Ndamase, L Nematswerani, MT Ngqaleni, L Noge-Tungamirai, P Ngeto, A Sing 1258 Lever Rd, Headway Hill, Midrand, 1685 +27 11 313 3911 +27 11 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

*Executive Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

RFP 1	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item	Category	Question	Response	
no.				
			117 of the RFP159/2021 document	
			regarding the need to factor the risks of	
			limited available information into their	
	0014/7		pricing.	
23.	SCM/Technical	Addendum 01 as posted on the DBSA website, is	This is a mistake. The Head: SCM Lending	
		already signed on page 3 by the space provided to	(DBSA) should have signed in the	
		sign by the Tenderer. Please clarify.	signature space at the <i>top section</i> on Page	
			3 and NOT on the lower section where the	
			Tenderer was expected to sign and date	
			the acknowledgment. The DBSA will ressue the Addendum 01.	
24.	SCM/Technical	Letter of Reference included on page 53 of the	A reference letter on the Tenderer's client's	
24.	SCIVI/ Lectifical	Tender document – is this a qualifying /	letterhead will suffice. The letter of	
		disqualifying item? In these challenging times, we	reference is critical to validate / confirm the	
		find it extremely difficult for clients to respond on	experience or track record being claimed.	
		our requests to them to complete and stamp the	On Page 53 of the RFP159/2021 On Page 53 of the RFP159/2021	
		attached letter for inclusion. Please indicate if a	document, it was indicated that "Letters	
		reference letter on our client's letterhead will suffice	of Reference provided by Clients to the	
		in replacement of this?	Tenderer in the past 10 Years will be	
		·	accepted". Therefore, unstamped	
		Also please indicate if we are allowed to complete	Reference letters from clients in the last	
		the document electronically and insert the signature	10 years will suffice and be accepted.	
		of the person within the company with delegation to		
		sign on behalf of the company?	■ The Tender document can be completed	
			and signed electronically in clear and	
			legible manner for submission.	
25.	SCM/Technical	May you please clarify if a full EIA needs to be	A full EIA does NOT need to be undertaken	
		undertaken and submitted to the relevant	and submitted to the relevant authorities.	
		authorities OR is it rather a in depth	Rather, an in-depth desktop/screening will	



RFP 1	RFP 159/2021: NON-COMPULSORY BRIEFING MEETING - QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES (PART 2)			
Item	Category	Question	Response	
no.				
		desktop/screening that will be based from the feasibility study that will be undertaken?	be conducted based on the feasibility study that will be undertaken. It should be noted however that the North West Development Corporation (NWDC) is currently busy with the full EIA process.	

