

DATE: 21 November 2025

Enquiries: Asakundwi Nenguda

Email: Asakundwiscm@dbsa.org

Tel: 011 313 3734

Tender Numbers: RFP075/2025

Appointment of Transaction Advisors to Undertake Bankable Feasibility Studies for the Olifantsfontein Wastewater Treatment Works ("WWTW") reuse project.

ADDENDUM NO.1

AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP DOCUMENT ON CLOSING DATE, SECTION 25 AND 26 (BID ACCEPTANCE & FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA)

THESE AMENDMENTS MUST BE READ TO FORM PART OF TENDER NO. RFP075/2025

The **Tender document** issued on e-tender portal and DBSA website on the **21 of October 2025** has the following amendments/additions.

The tender document issued included the following information on closing date, section 25&26. This addendum 01 therefore provides additional information in yellow that supersedes initially provided information to those mentioned areas in the tender document.

NB: Amendments/ addition made are only in yellow and the rest of the information remain the same as the original tender document. **It is the responsibility of the bidder to ensure that they compare and assess these changes in conjunction with the original tender document.**

1. CLOSING DATE: extension of RFP closing date from ~~05 DECEMBER 2025~~ to **12 DECEMBER 2025 @23:55.**

2. BID ACCEPTANCE

All Bids received must remain open for acceptance for a minimum period of **120 (hundred and twenty)** ~~90 (Ninety)~~ days from the Closing **Date** ~~Time~~. This period may be extended by written mutual agreement between the DBSA and the Bidder.

3. STAGE 2 (FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION)



D Makhura (Board Chairman),
P Nqeto (Board Deputy Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, L Milne, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe,
B Nqwababa, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

Evaluation of Proposed Methodology and Approach: Max points = 30 points.

Prompt(s) For Judgement: Proposed Methodology and Approach	Rating (Score) /Points
No response. Failed to address the question / issue	Disclaimer / No Score: 0 points, Score = 0% of max no. of points for criterion
The technical approach and / or methodology is poor / is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects of the scope of work and does not deal with the critical aspects of the project. Tenderer's proposed methodology and approach inadequately covered less than 3 out of the 7 critical aspects of project methodology and approach. The bidder's project programme is unrealistic, does not correlate with the approach and methodology and does not meet the stated timelines for execution of the work.	Poor: 9 points, (Score = 30% of max no. of points for criterion)
The approach is generic and not tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does not adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The quality plan, manner in which risk is to be managed etc., is too generic. Tenderer's methodology inadequately covered up to 5 of the 7 critical aspects of project methodology and approach. The bidder's project programme is unrealistic, does not correlate with the approach and methodology and does not meet the stated timelines for execution of the work.	Unsatisfactory/inadequate: 15 points, (Score =50% of max no. of points for criterion)
The approach is specifically tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The quality plan and approach to managing risk etc. is specifically tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. Tender's methodology acceptably covered up to 6 of the 7 critical aspects of project methodology and approach. The bidder's project programme is realistic, correlates with the approach and methodology and meets the stated timelines for execution of the work	Good/satisfactory: 21 points (Score =70% of max no. of points for criterion)
Besides meeting the "good" rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the Tenderer has outstanding knowledge of state-of-the- art approaches. The approach paper details ways to improve the project outcomes and the quality of the outputs. Tenderer's methodology acceptably covered all the 7	Very good: 30 points (Score =100% of max no. of points for criterion)



D Makhura (Board Chairman),
P Nqeto (Board Deputy Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, L Milne, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe,
B Nqwababa, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

critical aspects of project methodology and approach. The bidder's project programme is realistic, correlates with the approach and methodology and substantially meets or exceeds the stated timelines for execution of the work	
Note: Methodology must cover at minimum: 1. Needs Analysis; 2. Solution Options Analysis; 3. Project Due Diligence; 4. Value Assessment (Financial Model); 5. Economic Valuation (CBA); 6. Procurement Plan; 7. Bankable Feasibility Study Report & Revisiting the Feasibility Study. A detailed project implementation plan / programme is required to illustrate activities that will be performed and estimated timelines to meet the stated project execution timeline of not more than 18 months.	

Evaluation of Experience / Track Record of the Tenderer (Lead Tenderer and Entities in JV, Consortium, Association, etc.) in executing work of a similar nature: Max points = 25 points.

Prompt(s) For Judgement: Experience of the Tenderer	Rating (Score) /Points
Tenderer has submitted no information or inadequate information to determine scoring level.	Disclaimer / No Score: 0 points. Score = 0% of max no. of points for criterion
Tenderer has successfully provided professional services in the development of PPP bankable feasibility studies, cost-benefit analysis (CBA), financial modelling, project preparation, and procurement documents for PPP/project finance projects and/or waste water treatment or water reuse projects contracts in South Africa or internationally, with the projects having a combined capital value of less than R500 million in the past 15 years.	Poor: 14 10 points. (Score = 40% of max no. of points for criterion)
Tenderer has successfully provided professional services in the development of PPP bankable feasibility studies, cost-benefit analysis (CBA), financial modelling, project preparation, and procurement documents for PPP/project finance projects and/or waste water treatment or water reuse projects contracts in South Africa or internationally, with the projects having a combined capital value of between R500 million and R1 000m in the past 15 years.	Satisfactory: 24.5 17.5 points. (Score =70% of max no. of points for criterion)
Tenderer has successfully provided professional services in the development of PPP bankable feasibility studies, cost-benefit analysis (CBA), financial modelling, project preparation, and procurement documents for PPP/project finance projects and/or waste water treatment or water reuse projects contracts in South Africa or internationally, with the projects having a combined capital value of between R1 000 million and R2 000m in the past 15 years.	Good: 31.5 22.5 points. (Score =90% of max no. of points for criterion)
Tenderer has successfully provided professional services in the development of PPP bankable feasibility studies,	Very good: 35 25 points. (Score =100% of max no. of points for criterion)



E Rasool (Board Chairman),
M Janse van Rensburg (Deputy Board Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe, B Nqwababa,
P Nqeto, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

cost-benefit analysis (CBA), financial modelling, project preparation, and procurement documents for PPP/project finance projects and/or waste water treatment or water reuse projects contracts in South Africa or internationally, with the projects having a combined capital value of more than R2 000 million in the past 15 years.



E Rasool (Board Chairman),
M Janse van Rensburg (Deputy Board Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe, B Nqwababa,
P Nqeto, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

Evaluation of Experience of the Tenderer's Proposed Key Resources / Experts: Max points = 40 points.

ID	Key Resource / Expert	Maximum No of Points	Prompt(s) For Judgement: Experience of The Tenderer's Proposed Key Resources / Experts and Rating Score /Points
1	Project Manager/ Team Leader	10	- Submit a CV, qualification in Civil Engineering or Finance (min of NQF level 8 in a relevant field) and relevant professional registration with ECSA. - Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points - Poor: Less than 20 years post-professional registration experience: 4.0 points - Good 20 years or more, but less than 25 years post-professional registration experience: 7 points. - Very Good: 25 years or more post-professional registration experience: 10 points.
2	Engineer: waste water treatment / Water Reuse specialist	7.5	- Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 8 in Civil engineering or chemical process engineering) and professional registration with ECSA - Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points - Poor: Less than 15 years post-professional registration experience: 2 points - Good: 15 years or more, but less than 20 years post-professional registration experience: 3.5 5 points. - Very Good: 20 years or more post-professional registration experience: 5 7.5 points.
3	Legal, Regulatory Compliance (with BEE) and PPP Expert	5	- Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 8 in law) and professional registration with LPC - Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points - Poor: Less than 15 years post-registration experience: 2 points - Good: 15 years or more, but less than 20 years post-registration experience: 3.5 points. - Very Good: 20 years or more post-registration experience: 5 points.
4	Risk Management Specialist	2.5	- Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 7) - Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points - Poor: Less than 15 years post-registration experience: 1.0 points - Good: 15 years or more, but less than 20 years post-registration experience: 2.00 points. - Very Good: 20 years or more post-registration experience: 2.5 points.
5	Municipal Finance / Infrastructure Investment Analysis and Project Finance / financial modelling Expert	5	- Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 8 in finance) and professional registration with a relevant professional body. - Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points - Poor: Less than 15 years post-registration experience: 2 points - Good: 15 years or more, but less than 20 years post-registration experience: 3.5 points.



E Rasool (Board Chairman),
M Janse van Rensburg (Deputy Board Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe, B Ngwababa,
P Nqeto, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

			• Very Good: 20 years or more post-registration experience: 5 points.
6	Environmental Management and Development / Social Facilitation / gender Expert	2.5	• Submit a CV, relevant qualification (min of NQF level 7) and professional registration with EAPASA. • Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points • Poor: Less than 10 years post-registration experience: 1.0 points • Good: 10 years or more, but less than 15 years post-registration experience: 2.00 points. • Very Good: 15 years or more post-registration experience: 2.5 points.
7	Cost Benefit Analysis & Socio-Economic Impact Analysis Specialist	2.5	• Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 8 in economics) • Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points • Poor: Less than 10 years post-registration experience: 1.0 points • Good: 10 years or more, but less than 15 years post-registration experience: 2.00 points. • Very Good: 15 years or more post-registration experience: 2.5 points.
8	Stakeholder Engagement Specialist	2.5	• Submit a CV, qualification (min of NQF level 7) • Disclaimer: No information submitted: 0 points • Poor: Less than 10 years post-registration experience: 1.0 points • Good: 10 years or more, but less than 15 years post-registration experience: 2.00 points. • Very Good: 15 years or more post-registration experience: 2.5 points.
9	Support team	2.5	• Provide CVs of support team to work with key resources in the different fields. • Poor: inadequate support team and resources provided in key field of Engineering, Finance, CBA and Legal (Less than 2 proposed resources per field): 0 points • Good: adequate support team and resources provided in key field of Engineering, Finance, CBA and Legal (Between 3-4 proposed resources per field): 2 points • Very good: excellent support team and resources provided in key field of Engineering, Finance, CBA and Legal (More than 4 proposed resources per field): 2.5 points.

Note: bidders may propose a specialist to perform more than one role but a minimum of 7 resources will be required

Evaluation of the Lead Tenderer's Quality Management System: Max points = 5 points

Prompt(S) For Judgement: Lead Tenderer's Quality Management System (QMS)	Rating (Score) /Points
The Lead Tenderer has failed to provide a QMS Policy or QMS Policy provided is not up to standard.	Disclaimer / No Score: 0 points. Score = 0% of max no. of points for criterion



E Rasool (Board Chairman),
M Janse van Rensburg (Deputy Board Chairman)
B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe, B Nqwababa,
P Nqeto, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org

The Lead Tenderer's QMS Policy is elementary or sub-standard and will not result in quality work.	Poor: 2 points. (Score = 40% of max no. of points for criterion)
The Lead Tenderer's QMS Policy is good, workable, and is likely to result in quality work.	Satisfactory: 3.5 points. (Score =70% of max no. of points for criterion)
The Lead Tenderer's QMS Policy is good and is likely to result in quality work. Lead Tenderer is also SANS 9000 / ISO 9001 or equivalent certified. Proof of the Lead Tenderer's SANS 9000 / ISO 9001 or equivalent certification is submitted.	Good: 5 points. (Score =100% of max no. of points for criterion)

DocuSigned by:

Asakundwi Nenguda

7706A6897BEB476...

Asakundwi Nenguda
Procurement Officer

DocuSigned by:

Simon Ludik

0B47ED2E386849A

Simon Ludik

Acting Head: SCM Lending



E Rasool (Board Chairman),
M Janse van Rensburg (Deputy Board Chairman)

B Mosako* (Chief Executive), K Brown, B Hore, D Lerutla, MP Matji,
Z Mbele*, D Moephuli, J Muthige, C Naidoo, J Netshitenzhe, B Nqwababa,
P Nqeto, E Pieterse

*Executive
Bathobile Sowazi (Company Secretary)

1258 Lever Rd,
Headway Hill,
Midrand,
1685

011 313 3911
011 313 3500

www.dbsa.org



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM NO.1
AMENDMENT TO THE TENDER DOCUMENT:
THESE CLARIFICATIONS MUST BE READ TO FORM PART OF TENDER NO. RFP075/2025

Acknowledgement of Receipt

I (Name).....hereby acknowledge the existence of
addendum No 1 of RFP075/2025 on behalf of
(Company Name)

Signature.

Date

