

Query No.	Query Description	Notes/Response
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding the test for administrative responsiveness A.4 - "Tenderers Owners, all Directors and the full Project/Resource Team, must have South African citizenship."               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Kindly confirm that this is only applicable to the specific project team that is being put forward for the bid, and who have signed off on the bid. The inclusion of "all Directors" may preclude larger firms where there are quite a number of Directors who would have no involvement in the project but may not all be South African citizens.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>The Parliament Precinct has been declared a National Key Point. Accordingly, all Directors associated with the project must be South African citizens.</p>
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding the test for administrative responsiveness A.5 - the two projects of a similar nature.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Kindly confirm if subcontractor similar projects (not JV/consortium) would be accepted, or if these are only acceptable from the main tendering entity?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>No. Main/Lead with similar projects will be accepted</p>
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Kindly confirm that we can extend this definition to Precinct Planning, and</li> </ul>	<p>Yes. Experience may be extended to Precinct Planning</p>
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Kindly confirm that we may utilise international experience as this basis (if references are contactable).</li> </ul>	<p>No international experience will be considered. Only RSA experience will be considered.</p>
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding the test for administrative responsiveness B.7 - Kindly confirm the intention behind the split in roles between sections A.4 (PM, QS, Architect etc.) and B.7 (Engineer etc.).               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ We understand that all roles (six in A.6 [mislabelled as a second A.4] and four in B.7) must be filled for this tender to be considered responsive.</li> <li>○ Kindly confirm that subcontractor resources are permitted as part of the nominated team.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>All roles are required for the tender submission and will be evaluated in this tender.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The requirements in A6(A4) - Tenderers who do not adhere to those criteria listed as a PRE-QUALIFIER, will be disqualified immediately</li> <li>• The requirements in B7 - Tenderers who do not adhere to the response time indicated for clarification enquiries by the Employer will be deemed non-responsive and not be evaluated further.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes Subcontractor resources are permitted as part of the nominated team.</li> </ul>
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Regarding the use of subconsultants and associated impact on the preferential procurement point allocation - kindly confirm that only the lead institution's scorecard would be considered if there's no intention to JV.</li> </ul>	Yes. Only lead institution's scorecard would be considered if there's no intention to JV
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindly confirm the intention behind the 5% operational cost provision in C1.1.1 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ We understand that this is intended to cover administrative management of the team, however typically this is priced into the rates that are submitted - is this intended to replace any overhead allocation for subcontractors?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	No. This item does not substitute or offset subcontractor overheads. Tenderers must ensure that all rates are fully priced in accordance with the tender requirements.
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindly confirm that the term "outline" in C3.1.2 Scope of Works under Stage 3 does not entail the development of the full Cost Benefit Analysis, but is rather directional in nature to highlight the kinds of benefits that the concept design might elicit, however does not delve to the point of quantification of these (a detailed CBA is multiple months of work on its own).</li> </ul>	The term outline is correct for this stage of the project.
9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kindly confirm the intention behind the C3.1.2 Scope of Works statement <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ "The Bidder must price for all contract management responsibilities, construction health and safety requirements." on page 103. This is currently a concept design stage project, so no construction H&amp;S work would be envisaged, unless there is a specific identified need for this?</li> <li>○ Similarly, the statement "For commencement of works all designs must be signed off by the respective professionals in line with SANS regulations." implies that there would be a detailed design and execution undertaken, which appears to be outside of the scope of this tender - we'd appreciate clarification on this.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project is on concept design stage. Bidder to price the bid in full as per the scope of works on the tender.</li> <li>• The project is on concept design stage. No construction is intended on this tender.</li> </ul>

