

BIDS ENQUIRIES AND RESPONSES: RFP072.2026, RFP130.2026, AND RFP131.2026.

1.	QUESTION/CLARIFICATION	RESPONSE
2.	We are a QS Firm and need to clarify if we can prepare the submission from our side as main consultant then use other disciplines as subconsultants or the main consultant need to be a Consultant Project Manager/Principal Agent only?	Any consultant may submit a response to bid, it's not biased to CPM only.
3.	RFP072.2026 Kindly provide clarity regarding the relationship between the following two tables in the RFP document: • Page 101 of 118 – C2.2.2 (A1): Rand Value Allocation per Discipline; and • Page 103 of 118 – C2.2.2 (A2): Professional Fees Table, which provides for an average percentage offer against a range of estimated project values. • Our specific query relates to how the tendered professional fee percentage should be applied where the project value is presented as a range. For example, if the estimated project value range is R15 million to R20 million, and the tendering professional team offers a fee of 10%, kindly clarify which value should be used to calculate the corresponding rand value: 10% of R15 million, 10% of R20 million, or another prescribed value within the range? This clarification is important to enable us to correctly determine the rand value allocation per discipline in Table C2.2.2 (A1) and ensure that the percentage split between the respective professional disciplines is correctly reflected in the subsequent fee tables.	The professional fees percentage (%) should be calculated on higher value per range.

BIDS ENQUIRIES AND RESPONSES: RFP072.2026, RFP130.2026, AND RFP131.2026.

1.	QUESTION/CLARIFICATION	RESPONSE
4.	Kindly advise if a health and safety agent and the Asbestos AIA can tender on more than one consortiums as there is not such a wide availability of health and safety agents in the Eastern cape?	Any discipline can submit as many consortiums as they so wish.
5.	1. Are we required to submit individual BBBEE certificates and professional indemnity for each subconsultant? As opposed to submitting a consolidated BBBEE certificate and Professional indemnity listing all the disciplines as per tender document or BBBEE Certificate from the Lead Partner and Professional indemnity will be sufficient for submission. 2. Will a letter of intent be sufficient at tender stage as opposed to a signed subconsultant agreement.	1. Submit the BBBEE certificates for the main bidder. - The IF the bidder has indemnity - the cover must cover all professional disciplines. If each professional carries their own indemnity, then each professional must submit its indemnity) 2. Bidders are allowed to submit letter of intent at the tender stage and will be required to submit the actual cover at award.
6.	I would like to check if sub-consultants can only submit the following documents since our company will be submitting as a principal consultant not as a JV: 1. Professional Indemnity (if the discipline is not covered by the principal PI cover) 2. Key personnel CV's, Qualifications & Pr. Registration certificates	If each professional carries their own indemnity, then each professional must submit its indemnity.

BIDS ENQUIRIES AND RESPONSES: RFP072.2026, RFP130.2026, AND RFP131.2026.

1.	QUESTION/CLARIFICATION	RESPONSE
	Then our company as the principal consultant submits all other documentation such as Tax requirements, B-BBEE status level certificate etc.	
7.	A consolidated BBBEE certificate is required if tendering as a consortium or joint venture. However, in the briefing meeting it was stated that work may be sub-contracted. If we tender as architects and sub-contract all the work for the remainder of the disciplines required, would we still be required to submit a consolidated BBBEE certificate or can we merely submit our BBBEE certificate?	Bidder Must submit BBBEE certificate for the main bidder.
8.	1) C1.1 - The Form of Offer asks for a percentage fee to be tendered, however C2.2.2 (A.1) asks for Rand Values to be filled in per consultant per work stage. Why is it required to fill in Rand Values if the Form of Offer asks for a percentage fee? Further, at the briefing meeting, the consultants asked for the project budget but were told that DBSA would not divulge this information. How do DBSA expect to compare tenders and the pricing if all tenderers are pricing on different project budgets? 2) C2.2.2 (A.2) – Column D asks for the percentage the team is tendering, based on the various values given. Column E cannot be filled in due to the fact that it is the sum of Column C and D, but Column C has been struck through. Please confirm what is expected to be filled in in Column E. 3) Why is Work Stage 1 excluded from project? Assessment and measuring up of the site and the condition of the existing buildings would fall under Work Stage 1. 4) There appears to be a rather large discrepancy between the DBSA and the Government Gazetted with regard to the number of work stages, the allocation of fees per work stage and the deliverables per work stage. Is this correct? E.g. DBSA expect	1. The form of offer is influenced by table C2.2.4, not table C2.2.2 (A.1). Nonetheless the bidders are still required to complete table C2.2.2 (A.1). 2. Column C is rendered as Zero, therefore, column E is still the sum of column C and D. 3. Stage 1 is already completed by another PSP. Information can be made available to successful bidder. 4. This is correct. 5. DBSA received tender submissions through an electronic link, hence the instruction id for bidders to submit electronic documents.

BIDS ENQUIRIES AND RESPONSES: RFP072.2026, RFP130.2026, AND RFP131.2026.

1.	QUESTION/CLARIFICATION	RESPONSE
	construction documentation to be completed under WS 3 and will only pay a total of 35% of the total fee whereas Gov. Gazette expects contract documentation completed under WS 4.2 and pays 67% of the total fee. 6) Why do the DBSA want all construction documentation to be submitted in electronic format?	
9.	We kindly request clarification regarding the requirements in Clause 26.1 – Stage One, Table B, Item 7, specifically Note (d), which states: “Bidders must clearly specify which resource CV is offered for the tender for the tender required discipline. CV will not be assessed for any other discipline. Only one resource CV per discipline will be assessed.” We would appreciate clarification on how this requirement should be interpreted in relation to C2.2.1 – Time-Based Fees under the Pricing Schedule, where there appears to be provision for a Principal and three (3) Salaries staff/Resources. In particular, could you please clarify: 1. Whether bidders are required to submit only one CV per discipline, despite the pricing schedule making provision for a principal and three additional resources; 2. Whether the Principal and the three resources listed under C2.2.1 are expected to represent different disciplines, or whether multiple resources may be proposed within the same discipline and, 3. As the tender comprises three separate tenders, whether a bidder is permitted to propose and use the same resources/CVs across all three tenders, provided that the	Bidders are required to specify/label the CVs to indicate the discipline tendered for. No resource will fulfill two disciplines/ play dual roles. 1. YES 2. This is for the same discipline. 3. Yes, note that there is a condition that a bidder can only be awarded on one of these RFPs. 4. Yes, Bidders are allowed to submit/use same resources/CVs in their respective tenders.

BIDS ENQUIRIES AND RESPONSES: RFP072.2026, RFP130.2026, AND RFP131.2026.

1.	QUESTION/CLARIFICATION	RESPONSE
	resources meet the relevant experience and discipline requirements for each tender and, 4. Whether two different companies /bidders are permitted to use the same resources/CVs in their respective tender submissions.	
10.	With reference to the above tenders, could you kindly provide construction values for each of these projects.	Bidders are provided with construction value ranges on table C2.2.2 (A.2) on the RFP documents.
11.	Also what is the estimated duration for each of these projects.	28 months for all projects.
12.	Is Manzana a Primary School or Senior Secondary	Manzana is a Senior Secondary School
13.	Please clarify what we required to do on pages 50-51.	Bidders are encouraged to complete the CIDB Skills Standard Baseline Training Plan tables with details that are readily available. Bidders will not be disqualified for not completing this table but will be requested to complete if successful.
14.	On page 105 there is no Line for the amount for C2.2.5, across all the documents.	The table on page 105, does not allow for the amount. It only allows for the % fee that is tendered.